

1 UMBERG ZIPSER LLP
2 Mark A. Finkelstein (SBN 173851)
3 mfinkelstein@umbergzipser.com
4 Mei Tsang, Esq. (SBN 237959)
5 mtsang@umbergzipser.com
6 Molly J. Magnuson (SBN 229444)
7 mmagnuson@umbergzipser.com
8 1920 Main Street, Suite 750
9 Irvine, CA 92614
10 Telephone: (949) 679-0052
11 Facsimile: (949) 679-0461

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff UT Brands LLC

13 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 UT BRANDS LLC,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 DANIEL O'DONNELL,

19 Defendant.

20 Case No. 2:23-CV-5275

21 **COMPLAINT FOR
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
AND UNFAIR COMPETITION**

22 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Plaintiff UT Brands LLC (“Plaintiff” or “UT Brands”), by its attorneys,
 2 hereby files this complaint against Defendant Daniel O’Donnell (“Defendant” or
 3 “O’Donnell”) for copyright infringement and unfair competition, alleging as follows:

4 **NATURE OF THE SUIT**

5 1. This is a claim for copyright infringement arising under the Copyright
 6 Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 501, *et seq.*, as amended by the Digital Millennium
 7 Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 512, *et seq.*, and unfair competition under California law,
 8 stemming from Defendant’s infringement of a copyright owned by UT Brands,
 9 Registration No. VA 2-326-217 (the “Copyright”). A true and correct copy of the
 10 U.S. federal copyright registration certificate for the Copyright is attached hereto as
 11 Exhibit 1.

12 **THE PARTIES**

13 2. Plaintiff UT Brands is a California limited liability company with its
 14 principal place of business at 5965 Village Way, Suite E105-509, San Diego,
 15 California, 92310.

16 3. On information and belief, Defendant O’Donnell is an individual with
 17 a residence at 2200 J. Street, #2, Bellingham, WA 98225. On information and belief,
 18 Defendant manufactures and distributes a product which infringes on UT Brand’s
 19 Copyright.

20 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

21 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action
 22 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1338(a), and 1367. Venue is proper in this
 23 District under 28 U.S.C. §1400(b) as Defendant has committed acts of infringement
 24 in this District.

25 5. Defendant maintains continuous and systematic contacts within this
 26 District by selling and offering for sale products to customers within this District and
 27 by offering for sale products and services that are used within this District.

28 6. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because

1 Defendant, directly and/or through intermediaries, has conducted and conducts
 2 substantial business within this District including, but not limited to: (i) engaging in
 3 at least part of the infringing acts alleged herein; (ii) purposefully and voluntarily
 4 placing one or more infringing products or services into the stream of commerce
 5 with the expectation that they will be purchased and/or used by consumers in this
 6 District; (iii) regularly soliciting and/or doing business in this District, and/or
 7 engaging in other persistent courses of conduct in this District, (iv) or deriving
 8 substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in this District;
 9 and/or (v) filing a DMCA Counter-Notice and consenting to jurisdiction and service
 10 under 17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D). Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§
 11 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) for the reasons set forth above.

12 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

13 7. UT Brands, doing business as Funwares, is in the business of
 14 manufacturing, distributing, and retailing high-quality novelty items, such as “101
 15 Pooping Puppies,” a whimsical novelty puzzle that features 101 dogs in various
 16 stages of relieving themselves. UT Brands owns the copyright for “101 Pooping
 17 Puppies,” Registration No. VA 2-326-217, and is the owner of all rights, title, and
 18 interest in and to, *inter alia*, the copyrights in the product (the “Copyrighted Work”).

19 8. UT Brands incorporated its protected Copyright as part of this
 20 incredibly successful jigsaw puzzle, which it has been selling online, including on
 21 Amazon, and in retail establishments throughout the world. The Copyrighted Work,
 22 “101 Pooping Puppies,” is currently ranked as the #1 puzzle on Amazon.

23 9. The Copyright registration is valid, subsisting, unrevoked, and
 24 uncancelled. UT Brands also owns common law rights in this and other copyrights
 25 for use in connection with its UT Brands and Funwares products.

26 10. The Copyright has never been assigned or licensed to Defendant in this
 27 matter.

28 11. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant has

1 had full knowledge of the Copyright. Indeed, Defendant received a Notice of
 2 Infringement from Amazon related to his infringement of the Copyright and
 3 responded thereto, demonstrating his awareness of the Copyright and of the
 4 Copyrighted Work, “101 Pooping Puppies” puzzle, generally.

5 12. Notwithstanding his awareness of the Copyright, Defendant has
 6 manufactured, imported, distributed, offered for sale, and sold a “knock off puzzle”
 7 (the “Infringing Product”), which violates UT Brand’s Copyright and continues to do
 8 so.

9 13. Defendant’s Infringing Product is substantially similar, both objectively
 10 and intrinsically, to the Copyrighted Work, as can easily be seen through a
 11 comparison of the products.

12 *UT Brand’s Copyrighted Work:*

13 **101 POOPING PUPPIES**



14 **1000 PIECE JIGSAW PUZZLE**

15 *Defendant’s Infringing Product:*



1 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant has compounded the confusion
 2 between the Copyrighted Work and Infringing Product by using search optimization
 3 tactics to ensure that Defendant's Infringing Product appears in searches near UT
 4 Brand's Copyrighted Product.

5 15. Defendant has plagiarized copyrightable elements of UT Brands' work,
 6 and, therefore, the Infringing Product constitutes either a copy or derivative work.

7 16. Defendant's use of UT Brands' work willfully and intentionally sought
 8 to appropriate UT Brand's work for its own profit.

9 17. As of the date of filing of this Complaint, Defendant continues to sell
 10 the Infringing Product.

11 18. UT Brands has suffered direct losses because of Defendant's actions,
 12 and Defendant has profited from sales of the Infringing Product.

13 19. Defendant will continue to infringe the Copyright and sell the Infringing
 14 Product unless temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined by Order of this
 15 Court.

16 20. As a result of the Defendant's actions, UT Brands has no adequate
 17 remedy at law in that damages are difficult to ascertain and, unless injunctive relief is
 18 granted, Defendant will continue infringing of the Plaintiff's copyrights.

19 **COUNT I – COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. § 501)**

20 21. Plaintiff UT Brands incorporates paragraphs 1 through 20 herein by
 21 reference.

22 22. UT Brands has complied with the registration requirements of 17 U.S.C.
 23 § 411(a) in obtaining the Copyright.

24 23. At all times relevant hereto, UT Brands has been producer and sole
 25 owner and/or licensee of the original images that are the subject of this action and
 26 protected by the Copyright.

27 24. Among the rights granted to UT Brands is the exclusive right to market
 28 and sublicense the right to copy, reproduce, and display the image. Additionally, UT

1 Brands is granted the exclusive right to make and control claims related to
2 infringements of copyrights in the image.

3 25. Defendant has intentionally reproduced, displayed, distributed, and
4 made other infringing uses of the Copyright, without authorization by UT Brands.

5 26. As a result of his conduct, Defendant is liable to UT Brands for
6 copyright infringement.

7 27. Defendant knew his acts constituted copyright infringement and
8 Defendant's conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act.

9 28. As a result of his wrongful conduct, Defendant is liable to UT Brands
10 for copyright infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501. UT Brands has suffered,
11 and will continue to suffer, substantial losses, including but not limited to damage to
12 its business reputation and goodwill.

13 29. UT Brands is entitled to recover damages, which include its losses and
14 any and all profits Defendant has made as a result of his wrongful conduct, pursuant
15 to 17 U.S.C. § 504. Alternatively, UT Brands is entitled to statutory damages under
16 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

17 30. In addition, because Defendant's infringement was willful, the award of
18 statutory damages should be enhanced in accordance with 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

19 **COUNT II – UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER STATE LAW**
20 **(CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200, et seq.)**

21 31. Plaintiff UT Brands incorporates paragraphs 1 through 30 herein by
22 reference.

23 32. The Infringing Product sold and offered for sale by Defendant is of the
24 same nature and type as UT Brand's Copyrighted Work and, as such, Defendant's
25 use is likely to cause confusion to the general purchasing public.

26 33. By misappropriating and using the Copyright, Defendant misrepresents
27 and falsely describes to the general public the origin and source of the Infringing
28 Product and creates a likelihood of confusion by consumers as the source of the

merchandise.

34. Defendant's unlawful, unauthorized, and unlicensed manufacture, distribution, offer for sale, and/or sale of the Infringing Product creates express and implied misrepresentations that the Infringing Product was created, authorized, or approved by UT Brands, all to Defendant's profit and to UT Brands' great damage and injury.

35. Defendant's acts, as described herein, constitute unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business practices within the meaning of California Business & Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.

36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business practices, UT Brands has suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial losses, including but not limited to damage to its business reputation and goodwill.

PRAYER OF RELIEF

WHEREFORE, UT Brands prays for judgment as follows:

- A. That Defendant has directly and indirectly infringed the Copyright;
- B. That UT Brands be awarded all damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the Copyright and for Defendant's unfair competition, damages to be determined by a jury with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- C. An order permanently enjoining Defendant and his officers, agents, servants and employees, privies, and all persons in concert or participation with him, further infringement of the Copyright and further acts of unfair competition;
- D. That UT Brands be awarded statutory damages of One Hundred and Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) for each and every use by Defendant of the right, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;
- E. That UT Brands be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and

1 F. That UT Brands be awarded such other and further relief as this Court
2 deems just and proper.

3 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

4 UT Brands hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

5
6 Dated: July 3, 2023

UMBERG ZIPSER LLP

7
8 
9

10 Mark Finkelstein
11 Mei Tsang
12 Molly J. Magnuson
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff UT Brands LLC
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Name: Robert Kushner
Date: October 31, 2022
Applicant's Tracking Number: 104028.0126CPY

Correspondence: Yes